The Misunderstanding of Professor Johnson

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

September 4, 2023

Has anyone ever confused biological diversity in ecosystems with human diversity in societies? Perhaps, they were confused about Hardy-Weinberg. LOL.

ChatGPT

In scientific or academic settings, the concepts of biological diversity in ecosystems and human diversity in societies are generally clearly distinguished, as they fall under different areas of study—ecology and social sciences, respectively. However, in casual conversation or popular discourse, people might conflate or misunderstand these different types of diversity.

The Hardy-Weinberg principle is specific to population genetics and is used to describe a population that is not evolving. It wouldn't typically be applied to social or cultural diversity within human societies, which involve many factors beyond genetic variation.

While it would be a misunderstanding to directly apply ecological principles to social or cultural systems (or vice versa), interdisciplinary fields like social-ecological systems research do explore the interactions and similarities between natural and social systems.

However, it is worth noting that both types of diversity—biological and human—can be valuable for resilience, whether you're talking about an ecosystem or a society. In ecosystems, a greater variety of species generally leads to a more robust and resilient system. Similarly, many argue that diverse societies are more resilient and innovative, benefiting from a variety of perspectives and experiences.

So, while these two concepts are different and belong to different fields of study, they are similar in some ways, and it's not entirely unreasonable for someone to think of one when considering the other. But mixing them up in a technical sense, especially in relation to something like the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, would indeed be a bit of a misunderstanding!

DO

Anyway, I think it would make a great joke short story. Please write one.

ChatGPT

The Misunderstanding of Professor Johnson

Professor Johnson prided himself on being an expert in biodiversity, a subject he had taught at Greendale University for the past twenty years. Armed with a Ph.D. in Ecology and an unshakable conviction in his own brilliance, he had been recently inspired by campus activism to bring his expertise into human affairs.

"It's simple, really," he mumbled to himself while drafting his proposal. "If biodiversity is essential for ecological resilience, human diversity should similarly benefit societal resilience. They're practically the same!"

He decided to submit a proposal to the Social Sciences Department for an interdisciplinary course: "Applying Biodiversity

Principles to Social Dynamics: A New Frontier." The university, eager to boost its diversity initiatives, approved it without a second thought.

The first day of class arrived. Students from various disciplines filled the room: sociology majors, biology enthusiasts, and even a few lost freshmen who thought they were signing up for an easy A.

Professor Johnson wasted no time. He jumped right into explaining the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and its implications for genetic variation in a population.

"So, if we apply this model to human society," he ventured, "we can see that diversity—racial, cultural, intellectual—is vital for societal resilience!"

Sarah, a sociology major, raised her hand. "Um, Professor Johnson, isn't the Hardy-Weinberg model used to describe non-evolving populations? Isn't the whole point of social justice to change societal norms and fight systemic issues?"

Johnson chuckled. "Ah, you see, that's what we ecologists call 'disturbances' in an ecosystem. Like a forest fire facilitating succession, societal movements create change that leads to more resilient systems!"

Weeks passed, and the confusion only deepened. Students were puzzled when Johnson tried to explain systemic inequality through 'keystone species,' and utterly baffled when he compared affirmative action to 'controlled burns' used in forest management.

Finally, it was time for the final project presentations. Johnson could barely contain his excitement.

A biology student, Tim, went first: "I studied the increased 'fitness' of diverse human communities through social cooperation, comparing it to mutualistic relationships in ecosystems."

Johnson beamed. "Excellent work!"

Sarah was up next. "I analyzed how the concept of biodiversity fails to address power dynamics inherent in human society. In other words, Professor, your entire course is fundamentally flawed."

A hushed silence fell over the room.

"Ah," Johnson scratched his head. "Well, that's a different perspective, for sure. Diversity in viewpoints is what makes us resilient, after all!"

After the semester ended, Johnson found himself called into the dean's office.

"Your course evaluations were... diverse, to say the least," said Dean Williams, suppressing a smile. "Perhaps it's best you stick to ecology. The Social Sciences Department is a different ecosystem altogether."

Professor Johnson chuckled. "You might be right. But ecosystems do evolve, you know!"

And so, Johnson returned to his roots—quite literally—teaching ecology with a newfound appreciation for the complexities of human society. But every now and then, he'd chuckle at his grand experiment, a failed hybrid vigor between social science and ecology, and wonder about the resilience of his own understanding of the world.

The end.